FISCAL NOTE

Bill #: HB0116 Title: Sentence 4th DUI to treatment and

correctional program

Primary

Sponsor: Dan McGee Status: As introduced

Sponsor signature Date Dave Lewis, Budget Director Date

Fiscal Summary

Expenditures:

 FY2000
 FY2001

 Difference
 Difference

 \$0
 \$0

Revenue: \$0

Net Impact on General Fund Balance: Unknown Unknown

 $\underline{\underline{Yes}}$ $\underline{\underline{No}}$ $\underline{\underline{Yes}}$ $\underline{\underline{No}}$

X Significant Local Gov. Impact X Technical Concerns

X Included in the Executive Budget X Significant Long-Term Impacts

Fiscal Analysis

ASSUMPTIONS:

- As of 1/5/99 there were 53 offenders incarcerated either for a DUI offense or for a probation/parole violation on a DUI offense. This population includes offenders at Montana State Prison, Montana Women's Prison, Great Falls Regional Prison, Dawson County Prison, West Tennessee Detention Facility and Central Arizona Detention Center. It does not include offenders in the pre-release centers, the boot camp, or under Intensive Supervision Program. This includes only individuals who are currently serving prison sentences solely for 4th DUI offenses.
- 2. The Department of Corrections (DOC) is unable to measure the fiscal impact of this bill because there is not way to estimate how this sentencing option would be used by the courts and because of the technical concerns noted.

Fiscal Note Request, HB0116, as introduced Page 2 (continued)

- 3. It is possible that some fiscal impact to the DOC budget could be offset by offenders who could pay for this program. The DOC does not have calculations on how many offenders would be able to pay.
- 4. This bill has no fiscal impact to the Department of Justice.

TECHNICAL NOTES:

Definition of "residential correctional program that provides intensive outpatient chemical dependency treatment" is unclear. If the DOC assumes this is a program similar to the Butte Connections Corrections program, the additional cost per inmate day would be \$64. There is a potential of savings for those offenders who are successful in this type of program and are not incarcerated; however, those unsuccessful offenders would likely be incarcerated upon failure of the program, thereby increasing the cost to the DOC.

If the courts sentence more offenders to treatment, there could be a decrease in the number of offenders sentenced to probation. This would be an increased expense to the DOC because the treatment cost is more than the cost to supervise an offender on probation. There could also be an increase in county jail holding costs as offenders wait for available treatment placement.